

# PS 4

1. Suppose an investor with initial wealth  $w_0$  has a payoff function of the form

$$u(w) = -\exp -r_a w$$

with  $r_a > 0$ . There are two investment opportunities: a risk-free asset and a risky asset whose payoff is normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . The investor can allocate her wealth between the two opportunities. What share of her wealth should go into the risky asset?

2. Suppose that  $\succeq$  satisfies the Savage axioms with state space  $S$  and outcome space  $X$ , and suppose that it has an SEU representation with payoff function  $u$  and belief distribution  $\mu$ . Prove that for every non-null event  $A$  the preference order  $\sigma_A$  has an SEU representation. What is it?
3. Let  $M$  denote the right triangle in the plane with vertices  $x = (0, 1)$ ,  $y = (0, 0)$ , and  $z = (1, 0)$ . Each  $m \in M$  can be written uniquely as  $\alpha_m x + (1 - \alpha_m)(\beta_m y + (1 - \beta_m)z)$ . Hint: Draw some pictures to see how this works.). Define the mixture operators

$$m \otimes_\lambda n = \begin{cases} z & \text{if } m = n = z \text{ or } m = z \text{ and } \\ & \lambda = 1 \text{ or } n = z \text{ and } \lambda = 0, \\ (\lambda \alpha_m + (1 - \lambda) \alpha_n) x + & \text{otherwise.} \\ (1 - (\lambda \alpha_m + (1 - \lambda) \alpha_n)) y & \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that this is a mixture space.
  - (b) Suppose the preference relation satisfies axioms A1-3 for mixture spaces. Describe what indifference sets must look like.
4. Random variable  $X$  is distributed with density  $f(x) = x^{-6/5}/5$  and  $Y$  is distributed with density  $g(x) = x^{-3/2}/2$ .
    - (a) Which is bigger with respect to first-order stochastic dominance?
    - (b) Suppose a decision-maker maximized expected utility with payoff function  $u(x) = \sqrt{x}$ . Which does he prefer?
  5. Suppose an expected utility maximizer faces a decision problem in which there are two states of nature and three choices,  $a_1, \dots, a_3$ . Utility payoffs are described in the following table: The true probability distribution is  $p = (p_1, p_2)$ , where  $p_s$  is the probability of

	$s_1$	$s_2$
$a_1$	0	-8
$a_2$	-10	0
$a_3$	-4	-3

state  $s$ .

- (a) The DM does not know  $p$ , and believes that it is equally likely that  $p_1 = 1/4$  and  $p_1 = 3/4$ . Given these *a priori* beliefs about the models, what probability does she assign to the event  $s_1$ ?
  - (b) Which  $a_i$  will she choose?
  - (c) Before she chooses, she is told that the previous draw from the current distribution was  $s_1$ . Draws are independent, and her *a priori* belief, as before, is that the models are equally likely. What will she choose?
  - (d) Suppose instead that she is told that  $s_2$  was drawn. What will she choose?
  - (e) How much is it worth to her, in utility terms, to know the value of the last draw (given that her prior beliefs are that both modes are equally likely). (Hint: In part (c) you computed her expected utility if she is told  $s_2$ . In part (b) you computed her expected utility if she is told  $s_1$ . Before you are told anything, you have beliefs about how likely you are to be told  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ . So you can compute your expected expected utility [this is not a typo; it really is “expected expected utility”] before you are told anything. From this, you can compute the value of information—the value of knowing the value of the last draw. This notion of value of information is widely used.)
6. In the three-color Ellsberg paradox, which of Savage’s axioms P1-5 (not 6 or 7) fail to hold?